Power v. San Juan County, et al.
USDC Western District of Washington at Seattle
VERIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

COUNTY CLERK OFFICE FILED

MAY 21 2018

LISA A. HENDERSON SAN JUAN COUNTY, WASHINGTON

And the second s

MAY 21 2010

Kirn Suster

COUNTAINE COUNTAINE

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JUAN

NICHOLAS POWER,

Plaintiff,

No. 18 2 0507528

٧.

COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C 1983 AND MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, PRELIMINARY INJUCTION AND PERMANENT INJUCTION PURSUANT TO CR 65

SAN JUAN COUNTY (a municipal subdivision of the State of Washington),

Defendant.

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

COMES NOW, NICHOLAS POWER, a duly licensed attorney in the State of Washington

19 and

and makes the following allegations and prayer for relief.

20

I. PARTIES

21

1. Plaintiff, Nicholas Power, is a licensed attorney and current candidate for office of San

Juan County Prosecuting Attorney.

THE LAW OFFICE OF NICHOLAS POWER
540 Guard St., Suite 150
Friday Harbor, WA 98250

360.298.0464

VERIFIED COMPLAINT and
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER and PERMANENT INJUCTION

23

22

hours following an election	n terminating candidacy.	Political	signs	shall no	ot excee	d six
square feet in area."						

10. Because the campaign season is already underway, every day that Plaintiff's speech is chilled because he cannot place a sign because of the threat of enforcement of SJCC 18.40.400, he is unable exercise his fundamental right of free speech. This is causing immediate, irreparable and substantial injury to Plaintiff and no adequate remedy at law is available.

## IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

- 11. Plaintiff's First Amendment rights under the Constitution of the Untied States are violated by SJCC 18.40.400(c).
- 12. Plaintiff constitutional rights under Article 1, Section 5 of the Constitution of the State of Washington are violated by SJCC18.40.400(c).
- 13. SJCC 18.40.400 is not only unconstitutional, it was clearly unconstitutional when it was enacted in 1998.
- 14. In 1993, Washington Supreme Court unanimously held unconstitutional an operatively identical temporal restriction in the City of Tacoma's Municipal Code ("TMC").

  Collier v. The City of Tacoma, 121 Wn.2d 737, 854 P.2d 1046 (1993).
- 15. In *Collier*, TMC 2.05.275 defined and regulated political signs. That ordinance defined political signs as "[a]II signs which are displayed out-of-doors on real property relating to the nomination or election of any individual for a public political office or advocating any measure to be voted on at any special or general election". Id. at 742.

23

- 16. TMC 2.05.275 the posting of such political signs to a period of not more than 60 days prior to and 7 days after the date of the election for which the signs are intended.
- 17. Specifically, TMC 2.05.275: "Such political signs shall not be displayed more than sixty days prior to and seven days after the date of the election for which intended. In cases where a general election follows within 55 days of a primary election, those signs for candidates whose names will appear on the ballot in the general election may be displayed during the interim period and up to seven days after the general election." Id. at 742-743.
- 18. The Court found that, "the Tacoma ordinances impermissibly restrict Collier's right to political expression in violation of article 1, section 5 of the Washington Constitution, and the first and fourteenth amendments to the United States Constitution. We hold unconstitutional those portions of the Tacoma ordinances that impose durational limitations on the preelection posting of political signs." Id. at 763. Emphasis Supplied.
- 19. This ruling comports with analogous federal rulings. See, *City of Ladue v. Gilleo*, 512 U.S. 43 (1994). See also, *George's County, Md.*, 33 F. Supp. 2d 447 (D. Md. 1999) (striking down a virtually identical 45 day limit on political signage).
- 20. Accordingly SJCC 18.40.400(c) is facially unconstitutional and was facially unconstitutional the day it was enacted almost 20 years ago.

## V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. That this Court find and declare the temporal clause in SJCC 18.40.400(c) is facially unconstitutional under both state and federal constitutions.
- 2. That this Court immediately enter on an ex parte pursuant to CR 65(b) an order restraining San Juan County from enforcing SJCC 18.40.400(c).

1	3. That such an order will prohibit Defendant from enforcing, or threatening to enforce, or				
2	further publishing or continue to publish, state, advise or imply that the time limits of				
3	SJCC 18.40.400(c) are legally effective.				
4	4. That this Court enter a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from enforcing, or				
5	threatening to enforce, or further publish or continue to publish, state, advise or imply that				
6	the time limits of SJCC 18.40.400(c) are legally effective.				
7	5. For fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.				
8	6. For fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to RCW 4.84.185 and 4.84.250				
9	6. That this Court place on its motion calendar a time to hear argument on the temporary				
10	injunction, permanent injunction and the matter of attorney's fees.				
11	6. For all other just, reasonable and equitable remedies.				
12					
	SIGNED this 21 <sup>th</sup> of May 2018, in Friday Harbor, WA.				
13	THE LAW OFFICE OF NICHOLAS POWER				
14					
15	NZ				
16	Nicholas Power, WSBA# 45974 Attorney for Plaintiff				
17	540 Guard St., Suite 150				
18	Friday Harbor, WA 98250 360.298.0464				
19	nickedpower@gmail.com				
.20					
21					
22					

23

5